

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,114	06/23/2006	Francois Schutze	032013-119	9051
23911 7590 10/15/2010 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
			SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/532,114 SCHUTZE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Phyllis G. Spivack 1614 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6, 9-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have t 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have t 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have t application from the International Bureau (PCT)	peen received. peen received in Application No uments have been received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the c	ertified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftspasson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(c) (PTO/SS/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/532,114

Art Unit: 1614

Applicants' Response filed August 17, 2010 is acknowledged. Claims 1-6 and 9-21 remain under consideration.

Claims 1-6 and 9-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brulls, M., U.S. Patent 6,730,685, in view of Facts & Comparisons, in the last Office Action. It was asserted Brulls at least suggests pharmaceutical compositions that are combinations of tenatoprazole and H₂-blockers, such as ranitidine. See column 7, lines 22-26. Tenatoprazole is exemplified as a compound of Formula I at the top of column 12. Brulls' teaching is drawn to treatment of diseases relating to gastric hyperacidity, such as gastric and duodenal ulcers and reflux esophagitis. See columns 6-7 under <u>Use of the Invention</u>. A dosage range for tenatoprazole is taught to be 1-100 mg once or twice a day (column 7, lines 14-15). Both oral and parenteral administration is disclosed in column 3, lines 1-8. As required by instant claim 5, sodium or potassium salts are disclosed in claims 4 and 5. As required by instant claims 4, 10 and 11, <u>Facts & Comparisons</u> is provided **only** to teach an oral dose of the H₂-blocker ranitidine to be 150 mg and a parenteral dose to be 50 mg.

Applicants again argue Brulls does not disclose or suggest pharmaceutical compositions that specifically are tenatoprazole and ranitidine in combination.

Applicants state unexpected results are provided on pages 8 and 9 of the specification wherein markedly superior gastric acid control is achieved as compared to each of the components alone. Applicants urge the prior art suggests one cannot generalize about

Application/Control Number: 10/532,114

Art Unit: 1614

the combination of any PPI with any histamine H₂-blocker, but omeprazole in combination with ranitidine did not yield an advantageous result according to prior art documents. Applicants state omeprazole is prone to undesirable drug interactions.

Applicants' disclosure is limited to a showing in Table 2 on page 8, wherein subjects suffering from nocturnal GERD showed "generally very favorable" outcomes after 4-8 weeks of treatment of daily administration, at bedtime, of one tablet containing 20 mg tenatoprazole and 300 mg ranitidine. No comparisons to other proton pump inhibitors or other histamine H₂-blockers are noted. No other modes of administration, ratios of tenatoprazole to a histamine H₂-blocker, other dosages or various salts of tenatoprazole, as encompassed in the language of instant claims 2-6 and 9-15, are described.

Applicants' arguments have been given careful consideration but are not found persuasive.

The rejection of claims 1-6 and 9-21 under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Brulls, M., U.S. Patent 6,730,685, in view of Facts & Comparisons, is maintained. Applicants have not shown the combination of tenatoprazole and ranitidine to be markedly superior compared to the administration of each component alone for control of gastric acidity. No unexpected results are shown in Table 2 on page 8 of the specification following the administration of a capsule formulation having tenatoprazole 20 mg and ranitidine 300 mg.

In view of the pharmacological effects of tenatoprazole and ranitidine,

one skilled in the gastroenterology art would have been motivated to select these two

Application/Control Number: 10/532,114

Art Unit: 1614

agents in combination to treat gastric hyperacidity. Motivation to select tenatoprazole flows from its longer duration of action. To optimize a therapeutic effect in the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease and esophagitis, a combination of agents is needed. The administration of an H_2 -receptor antagonist – and specifically ranitidine – provides an on-demand effect due to its rapid onset of action.

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this Final Action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this Action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this Final Action and the Advisory Action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the Advisory Action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the Advisory Action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this Final Action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Phyllis G. Spivack whose telephone number is 571-272-0585. The Examiner can normally be reached from 10:30 to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful after one business day, the Examiner's supervisor. Ardin Marschel, can be reached 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/532,114 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 13, 2010

/Phyllis G. Spivack/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614